



# भारत का राजपत्र

## The Gazette of India

प्राप्तिकार से प्रकाशित  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 22]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, अक्टूबर 5, 1991/ आष्विन 13, 1913

No. 22]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, OCTOBER 5, 1991/ASVINA 13, 1913

इस भाग से भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकालन के रूप में  
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a  
separate compilation

भाग II—लाप्त 3—उप-लाप्त (iii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (iii)

(संघ पाल्य कोष प्रशासनों को छोड़कर) के स्वीय अधिकारियों द्वारा जारी किए गए आदेश और अधिसंचालन।  
Orders and Notifications issued by Central Authorities (other than Administrations of Union  
Territories)

### भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

नई दिल्ली, 28 अगस्त, 1991

आ. अ. 89.—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग 1990 की निर्वाचन अर्जी सं. 2 में उच्च न्यायालय लखनऊ बैच, लखनऊ के तारीख 3-4-1991 के निर्णय को एतत्वारा प्रकाशित करता है।

(निर्णय अंग्रेजी में छपा है)

[सं. 82/उ. प्र. लो. स. 2/90 (लख.)/6840]

आदेश से,

एल. एच. फारुकी, अवृत्त सचिव

### ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 28th August, 1991

O.N. 89.—In pursuance of Section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby publishes the judgement dated 3rd April, 1991, of the High Court of Judicature, Lucknow Bench, Lucknow, in Election Petition No. 2 of 1990.

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT  
ALLAHABAD LUCKNOW BENCH, LUCKNOW

Election Petition No. 2 of 1990

Hari Shankar Jain.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

Mandhata Singh.

... Opp. Pa.

UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF  
INDIA

Lucknow Dated: 3-4-91.

Hon'ble S. N. Sahay, J.

Sri Hari Shankar Jain—petitioner has appeared in person. He has stated that the election petition has become infructuous as the Lok Sabha has been dissolved and the elections are expected to be held in May, 1991. He has further stated that there is no charge for corrupt practices against the respondent who happens to be the returned candidate. He has also moved an application that the amount deposited by the petitioner in Court may be returned to him. Sri Vishal Singh learned counsel for the respondent has no objection.

In the above circumstances the election petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

Put up with the office report for orders on 9-4-1991 on the application for return of deposits.

Sd/-

S. N. SAHAY,

3-4-91.

[No. 82/UP-HP/2/90(LK)6840]

By Order

L. H. FARUQI, Under Secy.

